UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,872	06/05/2006	Ralph G. Jelic	33258/US/3	3930	
20686 DORSEY & W	7590 03/17/201 HITNEY, LLP	0	EXAM	IINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 370 SEVENTEENTH STREET			RAMSEY, JEREMY C		
SUITE 4700	ENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER, CO	80202-5647		3634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,872	JELIC ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JEREMY C. RAMSEY	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	N V IO OET TO EVDIDE AMO	NITHYON OR THURTY (OO) BAYO	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stal Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Jly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	• •	3
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 35,37-39 and 49-51 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 35,37-39 and 49-51 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be need taking or by objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	ነ).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11 □ Intensions St	mmary (PTO-413)	
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3634

Response to Amendment

The following office action is in response to the amendment filed 12/02/2009.

Claims 1-20, 22,23,25,26,28,29, 35, 37-39 40-46 and 49-90 are pending. Claims 35, 37-39, 49, 50 and 51 are rejected as set forth below, other pending claims are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Schnebly et al 4,884,612.

In re claims 49 and 50, with reference to Figure 3, Schnebly et al '612 disclose a fabric for use in a covering comprising:

- A plurality of elongated vanes having an elongated flexible component
 (30) with first and second longitudinal edges (top and bottom).
- An elongated semi rigid component (34) with first and second longitudinal edges.
- Said first edges of said components (34) being connected and said second edge (bottom) of each flexible component (30) being directly connected to an adjacent vane.
- Said second edge (top) of each flexible component (30) is directly
 connected to an adjacent vane at the connection of the first edge (top) of

Art Unit: 3634

said components (30) of said adjacent vane (rigid component 34 is between the top and bottom ends of 30).

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Yu et al 6,792,996.

In re claim 51, with reference to Figures 1 and 4, Yu et al '996 discloses a fabric for use in a covering comprising:

- A flexible support structure (210) having an exterior surface.
- A plurality of parallel elongated vanes (216)(218) at spaced locations
 along said support structure (210), all said vanes (216)(218) connected
 individually and directly to said support structure (210) and being adapted
 to move in response to movement of said support structure (210) and
 project from said exterior surface of said support structure when the fabric
 is moved between said extended and retracted positions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 35 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dodich et al 4,739,816 in view of Cooper et al D436,783.
- 3. In re claim 35 with reference to Figures 1 and 4, Dodich et al '816 discloses a fabric for use in covering a building structure comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/581,872

Art Unit: 3634

 A plurality of adjacent fabrics positioned in one or a plurality of architectural openings each fabric comprising a flexible vertically extending support structure (48').

 A plurality of parallel elongated vanes (50) supported at spaced locations along the support structure (48'), said vanes comprising semi-rigid slats secured to the support structure (48') to form an acute angle, wherein movement of said vanes is totally dependent on movement of the support structure (48').

Page 4

 The fabric includes a top edge (42), bottom edge, the top edge fixed in an architectural opening and the other edge being vertically movable.

Roy '311 fails to disclose:

- The edge forms a continuous non-linear edge being alignable and forming a continuation with the associated edge of another adjacent fabric so as to form a substantially continuous non-linear edge.
- 4. With reference to Figure 1, Cooper et al '783 discloses:
 - The edge forms a continuous non-linear edge being alignable and forming a continuation with the associated edge of another adjacent fabric so as to form a substantially continuous non-linear edge.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the edge of the fabric be in the form of a continuous non linear edge, as taught by Cooper et al '783 in order to provide a differing aesthetic look. since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a

Art Unit: 3634

component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Further, changes in size or shape without special functional significance are not patentable. Research Corp.v. Nasco Industries, Inc., 501 F2d 358; 182 USPQ 449 (CA 7) cert. Denied 184 USPQ 193; 43 USLW 3359 (1974).

- 5. In re claims 37-39, Dodich et al/Cooper et al disclose:
 - A control system (46') for moving said fabric between extended and retracted positions.
 - The fabrics have upper and lower edges and wherein the control system includes lift cords (46') anchored to the top edge for moving the top edge toward the bottom edge.
- 6. Dodich et al/Cooper et al fail to disclose:
 - Wherein the control system includes cords anchored to the bottom edge for moving the bottom edge toward the top edge.
- 7. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the control system be attached to the bottom edge instead of the top edge since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working ^parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35, 37-39, and 49-51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3634

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEREMY C. RAMSEY whose telephone number is (571)270-3133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 am-4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on 571-272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634

/Jeremy C Ramsey/ Examiner, Art Unit 3634